

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/076,563	02/15/2002	Rhonda Brent	21-0781	1391		
40158	7590 12/21/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
	ULLER SHULTZ & SMI	LE, NHAN T				
P.O. BOX 50	REY A. PROEHL 027		ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
SIOUX FALLS, SD 57117			2685			
			DATE MAILED: 12/21/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/076,563	BRENT, RHONDA		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Nhan T. Le	2685		

	Nhan T. Le	2685				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 27 October 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o e with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 76	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI 06.07(f).	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origon than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Officte of the final rejection, of the final rejection.	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed,			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co. (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);				
 (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a 			the issues for			
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of linary rej	ected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13		empliant Amendment (PTOL-324).			
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all 		timely filed amendme	nt canceling the			
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☒ wi vided below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>3-9</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>1,2 and 10-20</u> .			•			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	the form of the data of filling a N	-4: 6 A	4 h			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but (see attached)	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowar	nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	No(s)				

Regarding to claim 3, Applicant argues that the buttons are not well-know in the art and positioning of buttons "anywhere" on the helmet is not obvious since the examiner is taking Official notice for the claim limitation. The examiner disagrees. The examiner also provided the new references for the limitation as challenged by applicant. Dufresne (US 2002/0148033) teaches visor protector wherein there are many openings in the parameter wall of the helmet approximate the front opening of the helmet (see fig. 3, number 34, paragraph 0061). Rast (US 2001/0046304) teaches system and method for selectively control of acoustic isolation headset wherein the headset incorporated in to head gear such as helmet with different control buttons (see fig. 1, numbers 20-20d, paragraph 0049).

11-17-2005

NGUYENT.VO PRIMARY EXAMINER